UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,786	10/03/2005	Eduard Zelezny	FISCHER.NEW1	4275
27557 BLANK ROMI	7590 11/15/200 E LLP	EXAMINER		
600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, HOANG M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	$\mathcal{H}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Sciences	10/551,786	ZELEZNY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The Man Inc. Section 1	Hoang M. Nguyen	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC . cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment/c)	•				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Patent and Trademark Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/551,786

Art Unit: 3748

Claims 1-12 are objected to because on line 7, the word "whith" is misspelled.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 7-9, the phrase "with enlarging the volume of the second stage ... concurrently with the second stage volume decreasing" is vague and indefinite because it's unclear if the second stage volume is increasing or decreasing.

In claim 7, line 7, it's unclear the phrase inside parentheses is part of the claim or not.

Claims 2, 5-6, 9, fails to further the limitation of the independent claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-4, 7-8, 10-12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6543411 (Raab et al).

Raab et al discloses a four-stroke internal combustion engine that the claimed invention reads on. The intake stroke in figure 1a is equivalent with the first stage with large volume. The compression stroke in figure 1b is equivalent with the second stage with decreasing volume. The ignition takes place is equivalent with the third stage. The

Application/Control Number: 10/551,786

Art Unit: 3748

power stroke is equivalent with the fourth stage with small volume. The exhaust stroke is equivalent with the fifth stage with large volume.

Regarding claims 3-4, 12, Raab et al teaches it's well known to use compression cooling and expansion cooling equivalent to the claimed cooling steps.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3, 9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 6543411 (Raab). Raab discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose the eliminating steps as claimed. However, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the internal combustion engine to have different strokes (from 2 to 8 strokes are well known in the art) in Raab as claimed for the purpose of achieving appropriate power output.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmitz, Ward, Hu, and Newman disclose engines with different stages.

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 11/11/2007